

United States Patent and Trademark Office

JNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Jnited States Patent and Trademark Office address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,855	05/10/2001	Raymond A. Berard	14060/198355(IRC289)	5678
23370 7590 07/12/2007 JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ		EXAMINER		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP			YOON, TAE H	
1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7772711, 077			1714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/852,855	BERARD, RAYMOND A
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Tae H. Yoon	1714
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (S6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·		·
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 M.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 21-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-38 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Assahaa			
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This is NEW MATTER rejection since the recited "a yield of 80 –100%" does not have support in originally filed specification. The table on page 9 shows a yield of 82%, but it does not support said 80%.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yang et al (US 6,036,726).

Yang et al disclose a process for recycling colored polyamide (nylon) material in abstract. Said process comprises the steps of (a) contacting solid colored polyamide (nylon) material with an organic solvent composition at a temperature effective to dissolve the polyamide (nylon) material, thereby forming a solution containing colorant

Art Unit: 1714

and dissolved polyamide (nylon); (b) separating insoluble materials from dissolved polyamide (nylon) material; and (c) cooling the dissolved polyamide (nylon), thereby causing nylon to precipitate in claim 27.

The temperature for said dissolution is taught as 140-220°C at col. 7, line 1 and in claim 3 overlapping the instant temperature. Suitable pressures are taught as between about atmospheric pressure and about 300 psig at col. 7, lines 2-4. Use of an inert gas such as nitrogen or argon in order to obtain an elevated pressure is also taught at col. 7, lines 4-6. Tables 5-8 show 60-90wt.% of alcohol in water, and tables 6 and 7 show 100% dissolution of nylon fibers.

Examples of Yang et al show a mixture of water and alcohol, and example 10 shows that ethylene glycol was not a good solvent for nylon 6,6 (col. 12, lines 59-60). Also, example 10 uses one hour at a temperature from 154-163 °C and a pressure of 250 psig.

Yang et al teach various residence times such as 0.5-20 minute, for solvating the colored nylon at col.7, lines 9-25. Thus, Yang et al also teach utilization of a combination of the pressure, temperature and the dissolution time

The instant claims recite "a pressure higher than an equilibrium vapour pressure of the alkanol-containing solvent at the elevated temperature", but claims do not specify how high that pressure would be. Thus, 0.001 psig higher than the equilibrium vapour pressure would meet the invention and such pressure change would constantly occur inherently in a pressure vessel since it is in a dynamic state (constant change of

Art Unit: 1714

equilibrium vapour pressure to non-equilibrium vapour pressure back and forth), not a steady state.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize the instantly claimed temperature such as 154 °C or 140°C and pressure higher than 250 psig by introducing an inert gas in example 10 of Yang et al since Yang et al teach employing 140-220°C and 300 psig, and a higher pressure would yield a shorter dissolution time and since Yang et al teach various residence times such as 0.5-20 minute, and furthermore, choosing a temperature, pressure and dissolution time within the range disclosed by Yang et al is a *prima facie* obviousness absent showing otherwise

Claim 32 further recites that the pressure head yields a pressure higher than the equilibrium vapor pressure of a solvent over Yang et al. However, Yang et al teach employing a pressure vessel in order to get an elevated pressure, and thus a pressure vessel having a pressure head is an obvious modification since a solvent entering from a (pressure) head would have a higher contact with a nylon than that entering from the said or bottom of a pressure vessel.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1714

2,855 Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tae H Yoon

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

THY/July 3, 2007